TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA. CHAIRMAN

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT DAN BURTON, INDIANA ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA JOHN M. McHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA GIL GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA GIC, GOTAMBEATT, GIRINNESOTA MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA STEWEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA CHRIS CANNON, UTAH CHING CAIRNOIS, OTARI JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO DARRELL ISSA. CALIFORNIA JON C PORTER NEVADA JON C, FOATER, NEVADA KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS LYNN A, WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA JEAN SCHMIDT, OHIO BRIAN P. BILBRAY, CALIFORNIA

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

Washington, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225-5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225–3974 MINORRY (202) 225–5051 TTY (202) 225–6852

http://reform.house.gov

December 19, 2006

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK FLUGHE CHMMINGS MARYLAND DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI DIANE E WATSON, CALFORNIA STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND LINDA'T SANCHEZ CALIFORNIA C.A. DUTCH HUPPERSBERGER, MARYLAND BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA. RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT.

General Benjamin S. Griffin Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command 9301 Chapek Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5527

Dear General Griffin:

Yesterday, the Government Accountability Office issued a report entitled High-Level DOD Action Needed to Address Long-standing Problems with Management and Oversight of Contractors Supporting Deployed Forces. I am writing to request a briefing on troubling findings from the report that relate to Halliburton's LOGCAP troop support contract in Iraq, which is managed by Army Materiel Command.

GAO's report included several key findings. First, it concluded that "DOD continues to have limited visibility over contractors because information on the number of contractors at deployed locations or the services they provide is not aggregated by any organization within DOD or its components." Second, it concluded that "DOD continues to not have adequate contractor oversight personnel at deployed locations, precluding its ability to obtain reasonable assurance that contractors are meeting contract requirements efficiently and effectively at each location where work is being performed." Third, it found that "[m]ilitary personnel continue to receive little or no training on the use of contractors as part of their pre-deployment training or professional military education."

One example of wasteful spending identified by GAO concerns dining halls in Iraq. According to GAO:

Several officials told us they regularly had contractor personnel unexpectedly show up in Iraq and request support, but were unable to verify what DODprovided support those contractor personnel were entitled to. As a result, DOD and its components may be providing unauthorized support to contractors.

¹ Government Accountability Office, High-Level DOD Action Needed to Address Longstanding Problems with Management and Oversight of Contractors Supporting Deployed Forces (Dec. 18, 2006) (GAO-07-145).

General Benjamin S. Griffin December 19, 2006 Page 2

GAO estimated the cost of providing one type of unauthorized support: the provision of meals to contractors at dining facilities. GAO reports that "an Army Materiel Command official noted that the Army estimates that it loses about \$43 million every year providing free meals to contractor employees who are also receiving a per diem allowance for food."

The dining halls operated by Halliburton and its subcontractors have already been the source of extensive questioned and unsupported costs. The Defense Contract Audit Agency challenged \$212 million in Halliburton dining hall costs, largely because Halliburton charged the Army based on estimates of how many individuals would eat at the dining halls rather than on how many people actually ate there. This history of inflated costs calls for more vigilance and accountability from the Army, not less.

I request a briefing from Army Materiel Command on the problems identified in the GAO report. I would like to understand how the Army gauged the magnitude of this problem and estimated the excess costs, what procedures currently are in place to prevent these unwarranted contractor payments, what efforts have been made to recover unjustified contractor payments, and what measures the Army intends to take to ensure that taxpayer funds are not wasted in the future.

Sincerely,

Henry A Waxman

Ranking Minority Member

² Briefing by Defense Contract Audit Agency for Committee on Government Reform staff (Mar. 3, 2006).